Previous references.

G.H. 22-08
& 35, 47, 57 B. Mysore

190.

(Gen. Abs.)

File No. 42 & 709-10

Serial Nos. 8, 20

Later references:

Inw 25-10-25734
Ath 93-1918
CB 20319-1

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 8 20

Part B. for September 09

SUBJECT.

The Mysore Civil Service
— Memorial of Mr G.B. Hebbekar
Prob. Asst Commr requesting reconsideration
of G.O. No G 6533-56/G.H 22-08-57 d/ 20/5/09

No 1972 dated 12/8/09
From the Rev: Commr
Forwards an appn from Mr G.B. Hebbekar
Prob. Asst Commr requesting reconsideration of
G.O. No G. 6533-56/G.H. 22-08-57 d/ 20/5/09
declining to grant his prayer that he may
be confirmed as Asst Commr on the
completion of 2 years probationary service &
calculated from the date of his appt as
~~G.R.S. 58~~ Prob. Asst Supt of Police
2 No G. 1738-78 dated 16-9-09 To the Rev: Commr
and Heads of other Depts & Dy Commrs of Dists
Rejects the memorial and observes that Govt
do not view with favor such appns for revision

G.R.S.11] 1909-10

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Gen-Misc.)

NOTES.

File No. 42

FILE HEADING.

The Mysore Civil Service.

From

The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore

No. 1992 Dated 7-8-09 Received 10-8-09

Diary No. 678 | Enclos. 2 | Spare Copies – | Serial No. 8

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards an application from Mr. G. R. Hebbekar, Asst. Commr, requesting reconsideration of G.O. No. 5m 22 X 08-59 d. 20-5-09 declining to grant his prayer that he may be confirmed as ~~Asst.~~ Commr ~~both effect from the date on which~~ on the completion of 2 years' probationary service calculated from the date of his appointment as Asst. Supdt. of Police.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Submitted for orders. The matter has been discussed in detail in the collection containing Nos. 35, 47 & 59 X of G.M. file 22-08 herewith submitted 20 Nos. Seen)

17/8/09 14/8.

SB 14/8/09

NOTES—First sheet

GPB—30,000—3-4-07.

20. 8. 09

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Council Matter.

SUBJECT.

Application of Mr. G.B. Heblikar, Probationary Assistant Commissioner praying for a reconsideration of the Government Order dated 20th May 1909 declining to confirm him as an Assistant Superintendent of Police with effect from 26th April 1908.

CIRCULATED TO COUNCILLORS.

By Order,

M Chandrasekhar

Secretary to Government.

19th August 1909.

K. P. Puttanna Chetty, Esq.,
First Councillor.

1723 H. V. Nanjundayya, Esq., M.A., M.L.,
Second Councillor.

Seen & opinion recorded.

G.R.S. 15]

Facing sheet for Cases included in the schedule appended to Notification No. 36 of the 8th. Aug. 1902.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

3

Subject— Application of Mr.G.B. Heblikar, Probationary Assistant Commissioner praying for a reconsideration of the Government Order dated 20th May 1909 declining to confirm him as an Assistant Superintendent of Police with effect from 26th April 1908.

	Date	Initial of Officer himself or responsible Deputy.
Received in the Secretariat	12.8.09	
Submitted to Secretary	14.8.09	
Submitted by Secretary to Dewan ~~or Councillor in charge~~	— Dv —	
Returned to Secretary	18.8.09	
Circulated by Secretary for Council ...	19.8.09	
Forwarded by Councillor		
Forwarded by Councillor		
Received in Secretariat		
Considered in Council		
Submitted to the Dewan		
Submitted to His Highness		
Orders passed by His Highness		
Final Orders issued		
Circulated to Councillors		
Passed on by the Councillor		
Returned to Secretary by Councillor...		

GPB—1,000—26-2-07.

Serial No.

2. No new points have been urged in the present memorial

2079 16/8/09

Dewan's addr

(3) To be circulated to Council after favour of their opinion

17.8.09

(4) The original order of appointment (as Police Probationer) stated that 'at the end of two years and on the occurrence of a vacancy, he will be made an Asst Supdt of Police'. At the end of the 2nd para of the same order, it was stated that he would be promoted to 200 Rs at the end of the 2nd year, if he should continue to give satisfaction, as probationer. He joined that appt on 26 Apl 1906, and would get 200 Rs from 1 May 1908 as a probationer. I think the Govt order (sought to be revised) need not have admitted that he would "at the best have been entitled to draw 250 Rs from 26 Apl 1908.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

Serial No.

This is done apparently by accepting Mr. Hebbar's argt, that as there was a vacancy on the date of his getting 200 Rs, he was at once entitled to get it. But this Construction is a very doubtful one. If Mr Hebbar had any right to get a permanent place under the original order, it would be on the occurrence of a vacancy after his Confirmation and he had no right to claim that he should be appointed to fill the posts that had fallen vacant previously and remained unfilled for long & was not bound to keep such places vacant for his benefit, and it was only an accident that they had not filled them up. This, the strongest argument apparently of the Memorialist to urge a reconsideration — namely that he would have got 250 Rs nearly 25 months before he would get it under the last order — altogether fails

(5) I do not quite understand all the other arguments of Mr. Hebbar

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

Serial No.

and it is hardly necessary to spend time in unravelling and examining them. If our order had not given reasons at length, perhaps this memorial would not have been sent up again. I think it undesirable that young officers at the very outset of their career should be encouraged to assert such personal claims with continued insistency.

(6) I think that the memorial may be rejected and Mr. Hebbekar informed that Govt do not view with favour applications for review of such orders passed after sufficient deliberation

28/8/09

(7)

Mr Hebbikar, by being admitted into the Mysore Civil Service has got something better than what he expected at the time when he was first taken on. He has no reason to complain & I would

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

Serial No.

(7)

discourage his attempt to nurse a grievance. Memorial may be rejected

12/9/09

Dewan 12/9/09 11/9/09

2408/9.9.09 (8)

Order may be passed to the following effect:-

"The memorial is rejected. The Govt. do not ~~for~~ view with favour such applications for the review orders passed after due deliberation"

Sd/- 12/9/09

(9) Draft ordered accordingly

11/9/09

(10) Put up draft

CSD

11/9/09

(11) Typed. O. Issued

6½ hrs 13/9.

Issue

CSD

13/9/09

20 To the Revenue & Agriculture Dept. & Collectors of Districts.

No G 1738-78 of 16.9.09

Rejects the ~~repo~~ memorial read in 7 & 8 & observes that Govt do not incline favour such applns for review

NOTE.—Contn sheet.

Serial No.

Orders passed after due deliberation

Rev. Comr. Form 12

190

C. 678

From

Rajakarya Pravina,

A. RANGASWAMI IYENGAR Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Revenue Commissioner

in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
General & Revenue Departments.

Fy 4 2-09

Sec D

Dated

Despatched 9th August 1909.

Received

No. 1992,

Enclosure No. 2

Forwarding Mysore Deputy Commissioner's letter submitting for favorable consideration an applicatio from Mr.G.B.Heblikar, Probationary Assistant Commissioner, praying for a reconsideration of the orders of Government re his confirmation.

Caxton Press, Bangalore.—2000-2-09

No. 1992
2

OFFICE OF THE REV. COMR. IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 7th August *190*9

FROM

Rajakarya Pravina,
A. RANGASWAMI IYENGAR, ESQ., B. A., B. L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

TO

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General & Revenue Departments.

SIR,

I have the honour to forward herewith letter No. 108 dated 4th instant from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District together with its enclosures submitting for favourable consideration an application from Mr.G.B.Heblikar, Probationary Assistant Commissioner, praying for a reconsideration of the order of Government conveyed in their Proceedings No.G.6555-56/G.M.22-08-59 dated 20th May 1909.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Revenue Commissioner.

M.S.

No. 2031
Date 6.8.09 1909

From D.A.C.

Memorandum
Mysore District

To

The Revenue Commissioner
in Mysore

Dated 6
Despatched 5 } August
Received 1909

General No. 108
No.

Enclosure No. 1

Submitting for favourable consideration the application of Mr. E. B. Rebekah Probationary Assistant Commissioner praying for reconsideration of the Board order in the proceedings of this board, &c.

Submitted 6/8/09

Forwarded 6-8-09

Draft submitted 7-8-09

No. 108
Enc. No. 1

OFFICE OF THE Deputy Commissioner

MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated ~~Camp~~ Mysore 3rd August 1909.

To
C. Rangaswami Iyengar Esq., B.A., B.L.,
REVENUE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.
Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith for consideration the application of Mr. G. B. Hebbikar, Probationary Assistant Commissioner in charge of Mysore Sub-division praying for reconsideration of the Government order conveyed in their Proceedings, No. G. 6555-56, G.M. 22-08-09 dated 20th January 1909. As the Probationary Assistant Commissioner has taken much pains to set out his case fully, there is not much for me to add. If the facts are as stated by him, his application deserves favorable reconsideration at the hands of Government.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

From

G. B. Hebbikar, B.A., LL.B.,
Probationary Assistant Commissioner
In charge of Mysore Sub Division
Mysore.

To

M. Kantaraj Urs, Esquire, B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner Mysore District
Mysore.

Mysore the 7th July 190

Sir,

I beg to acknowledge the receipt of Govt. Proceedings No G. 6555-56 / G.M. 22-08-59 dated the 25th May 1909 passing orders on my application for confirmation and to resubmit my case for kind reconsideration and revision of orders.

The Govt. order restates the terms on which I was appointed in Mysore and observes that I did not undergo the training required of me. I would have been the last man to be at any fault in the matter or to avoid the training. I could do nothing more than meekly obey orders. I humbly beg to submit that Government considered my work satisfactory in as much as they gave me my first increment posted me to act as Assistant Superintendent of Police & appointed me a Probationary Assistant

Pol 136-05-1
P

further observe that under the conditions of my original appointment (1) at best I would have been entitled to draw Rs 250 from the 26th April 1908 (2) that my further promotion would have been indeterminate (3) that I reached Rs 200 nearly a year before it was due to me and (4) that as it was a distinct advantage to me at the time it is not open to me to raise the question of what my prospects might have been had I continued as a Probationary Assistant Superintendent of Police and claim promotion accordingly in the Civil Service. As to the first observation I have nothing to say as Government themselves observe that I would have got Rs 250 on the 26th April 1908 that is more than 25 months before I would get under the orders now passed. As to the second observation I beg respectfully to submit that I would have been promoted to the Rs 300 grade soon after I got Rs 250 under the old Rules. Under the Rules in force I would get my increments by efflux of time. When the new Civil service scheme was brought into effect on my application for a transfer to the general list Government were pleased to inform me "that under the new Civil service scheme police officers who duly qualify themselves will be brought in the general list along with officers of the Revenue department". I regarded this Govt. order as a promise as also the one that followed it observing that the confirmation

Police then appointed the post would not interfere with mine. In these circumstances I most respectfully submit that my further promotion would appear not to have been indeterminate. It is true I got Rs 200 nearly a year before it was due to me. But I respectfully beg to point out that the advantage to me at the time is not such as to compensate me for the loss I sustain by my confirmation being put off by twenty five months. Further my promotion to higher classes will be necessarily deferred. I beg to state that I do not claim promotion on the consideration of what my prospects might have been had the old rules remained in force.

If the service prior to my appointment as Probationary Assistant Commissioner had been regarded as subordinate service I beg to submit that my confirmation from the date of that appointment would have not affected in any way any officer in service at the time. Government are pleased to observe that I was a mere probationer at the time and consequently the former service cannot be regarded as subordinate service. If that view is correct I beg to submit that as a probationer I was qualifying myself then for the same appointment as I have been since my appointment as Probationary Assistant Commissioner. Whether I was a Probationary Assistant Superintendent or Probationary Assistant Commissioner I would have been continued

Assistant Commissioner. Government are pleased to observe that my service as Probationary assistant superintendent cannot count towards the three years probationary period required for confirmation as Assistant Commissioner on account of the nature of the probation required in each case being different. I humbly beg to point out that that does not make any difference as I passed identically the same examinations and underwent the same training as the other probationers appointed to the Civil service at about the same time, before the date from which I pray that I may be confirmed. Moreover I have been doing the work of an Assistant Commissioner over a year.

Messrs Mirza M. Ismail, Syed Taj Peeran and S. Srinivasa Rao were given the full benefit of the orders of their first appointments. I pray that some such concession may be graciously shown to me also. Here I would most humbly submit that I exceedingly regret that humble representation of my claims has been in a way interpreted as a complaint which I never intended. I have only to add that I have nothing but gratitude to express for all the favour that has been shown to me by the government.

In conclusion I most humbly

pray that Government will be pleased to reconsider and revise the orders now passed.

I beg to remain
sir
Your most obedient and
humble servant,
R B Ghebhikar.
Probationary Assistant
Commissioner.

G.R.S. 28]

Gen 42 Cy 09-10

File No

Serial No. 20

Diary No. of letter replied to 476

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( )

, *the* 190

Date of issue 16.9.09

Signed by M.S.B. Balasundara Rao

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

READ— C.O. No Cy 6555-6 of 20.5.09. declining to confirm Mr S.B. Hebbikar, Probationary Ass[t] Comm[r], with effect from the 26[th] April 1908, ~~at the end of two years from the date of his appointment~~ as Prob. Ass[t] Supdt. of Police

~~ORDER THEREON.—NO.~~

(2) A memorial from Mr Hebbikar forwarded with the Rev. Comm[r]'s letter no 1992 of 7.8.09 praying for a re consideration of the above order.

P.T. over

SUBJECT

GPB—6,000—13-5-08

Order thereon:- G 1738-788 16.9.09

The memorial is rejected

) The Govt do not view with favour such applications for review of orders passed after due deliberation.

Secy
may the file be submitted?
14/9

SBB 13/9.
For Secy to Govt
Secy 15/9

do
The Rev. Commr

Head of other Deptts & Rly Commrs or Dists

Inserted subject to

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, General Miscellaneous, dated 16th September 1909.

READ—

1. Government Order No. G. 6555-6, dated 20th May 1909, declining to confirm Mr. G. B. Heblikar, Probationary Assistant Commissioner, with effect from the 26th April 1908.

2. A memorial from Mr. Heblikar, forwarded with the Revenue Commissioner's letter No. 1992, dated 7th August 1909, praying for a reconsideration of the above Order.

No. G. 1738-78—G. M. 42-09-20, DATED BANGALORE, 16TH SEPTEMBER 1909.

ORDER THEREON.—The memorial is rejected.

2. The Government do not view with favor such applications for review of orders passed after due deliberation.

K. S. CHANDRASEKHARA AIYAR,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.
The Heads of other Departments and Deputy Commissioners of Districts.

Exd.—C. V